



•		-			
From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXA	MINING AUTHORITY	,	PCT		
To: Phillips, Patricia M. WILSON GUNN M'CAW 41-51 Royal Exchange, Cross street, Royal Exch Manchester, M2 7BD GRANDE BRETAGNE		国V国DEC 2003 DEC 2003	RITTEN OPINIO	NC	
		Date of mailing (day month year)	10/12/200	3	
Applicant's or agent's file reference		REPLY DUE	0.400		
P/23085.WO	`	y fi	oithin 2/00 mor rom the above date o	iths/days f mailing	
International application No.	International filing date		Priority date (day/n		
			23/04/2002		
PCT/GB 03/01695	23/04/2003	on and IPC	2370472002	·	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or		on and in C			
	B01D46/00				
Applicant	•				
MADISON FILTER 981 LIM	IITED				
1. This written opinion is the first drawn	- by this International P	reliminary Evamining	Authority TAILS IN	OTED ON 1 HE	
1. This written opinion is the first drawin	up by this international i	remining backming	RECORD CA	RD H	/
2. This opinion contains indications relation	ng to the following items:		DAY CARD F	OR IO. Z. OT	(U
I X Basis of the opinion	•		FILE P		į
II Priority			BY	(INITIALS))
III Non-establishment of opin	ion with regard to novelt	y, inventive step and in	dustrial applicability	ŧ	
	•				
IV Lack of unity of invention					
		ard to novelty inventive	e step or industrial at	plicability:	
V X Reasoned statement under citations and explanations	supporting such statemen	it			,
VI Certain documents cited	~	•			
VIII Certain observations on the		n		•	
3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply When? See the time limit indicated a	to this opinion.	hofore the expiration	of that time limit red	uest this Authority	
How? By submitting a written repl For the form and the langua	v accombanied, where ap	propriate, by amendine e Rules 66.8 and 66.9.	ints, according to red	ic 00.3.	
Also For an additional opportunit For the examiner's obligation For an informal communication	y to submit amendments,	see Rule 66.4. s and/or arguments, see	Rule 66.4 <i>bis</i> .		
If no reply is filed, the international p			on the basis of this	opinion.	
	<i>;</i>			•	
The final date by which the internation examination report must be established.	a preliminary according to Rule 69.2 is	s: <u>23/08</u>	3/2004	I NECHES PATEVY	
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/		Authorized officer		1 2 m	EUPOPEAN AND
European Patent Office, P.B. 5	818 Patentlaan 2	Examiner	. !	HINEVIETS.	FOP
NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Nethe	rlands	Formalities officer			NAN
Tel.: (+31-70) 340-2040 Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	(incl. extension of time Tel. (+49-89) 2399		The state of the s	7	

Room PCT/TPEA /408 (cover sheet) (march 2002)

- I. Basis of the opinion
- 1. The basis of this written opinion is the application as originally filed.
- V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability
- In light of the documents cited in the international search report, it is considered that the
 invention as defined in at least some of the claims does not appear to meet the
 criteria mentioned in Article 33(1) PCT, i.e. does not appear to be novel and/or to involve
 an inventive step (see international search report, in particular the documents cited X
 and/or Y and corresponding claims references).
- 2. If amendments are filed, the applicant should comply with the requirements of Rule 66.8 PCT and indicate the basis of the amendments in the documents of the application as originally filed (Article 34 (2) (b) PCT) otherwise these amendments may not be taken into consideration for the establishment of the international preliminary examination report. The attention of the applicant is drawn to the fact that if the application contains an unnecessary plurality of independent claims, no examination of any of the claims will be carried out.
- NB: Should the applicant decide to request detailed substantive examination, then an international preliminary examination report will normally be established directly. Exceptionally the examiner may draw up a second written opinion, should this be explicitly requested.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY